## THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE





May 5, 2011

The Honorable Mike Haridopolos President of the Senate

The Honorable Dean Cannon Speaker, House of Representatives

Dear Mr. President and Mr. Speaker:

Your Conference Committee on the disagreeing votes of the two houses on HB 7207, 1st Eng., same being:

An act relating to trust funds.

having met, and after full and free conference, do recommend to their respective houses as follows:

- 1. That the Senate recede from its Amendment 1.
- 2. That the Senate and House of Representatives adopt the Conference Committee Amendment attached hereto, and by reference made a part of this report.

## **Managers on the part of the Senate**

JD Alexander, Chair	Joe Negron, Vice Chair
Thad Altman	Lizbeth Benacquisto
Michael S. "Mike" Bennett	Ellyn Setnor Bogdanoff
Oscar Braynon II	Larcenia J. Bullard
Charles S. "Charlie" Dean, Sr.	Nancy C. Detert
Miguel Diaz de la Portilla	Paula Dockery
Greg Evers	Mike Fasano
Anitere Flores	Don Gaetz, At Large
Rene Garcia	Andy Gardiner, At Large
Alan Hays	Anthony C. "Tony" Hill, Sr.
Dennis L. Jones, D.C.	Arthenia L. Joyner
Jack Latvala	Evelyn J. Lynn
Gwen Margolis	Bill Montford
Jim Norman	Steve Oelrich
Nan H. Rich, At Large	Garrett Richter
Jeremy Ring	Maria Lorts Sachs
David Simmons	Gary Siplin, At Large
Christopher L. "Chris" Smith	Eleanor Sobel
Ronda Storms	John Thrasher, At Large
Stephen R. Wise	

The Honorable Mike Haridopolos The Honorable Dean Cannon May 5, 2011 Page 3

## Managers on the part of the House of Representatives

Denise Grimsley, Chair	Gary Aubuchon, Lead House Manager
Charles S. "Chuck" Chestnut IV, At Large	Dorothy L. Hukill, At Large
Paige Kreegel, At Large	John Legg, At Large
Carlos Lopez-Cantera, At Large	Seth McKeel, At Large
William L. "Bill" Proctor, At Large	Darryl Ervin Rouson, At Large
Franklin Sands, At Large	Ron Saunders, At Large
Robert C. "Rob" Schenck, At Large	William D. Snyder, At Large
Will W. Weatherford, At Large	_

The Conference Committee Amendment for HB 7207, 1st Eng., relating to growth management, provides for the following:

The growth management conference bill:

- Makes concurrency for parks and recreation, schools, and transportation facilities optional for local governments.
- Applies and revises the expedited comprehensive plan amendment process statewide.
- Deletes the requirement that comprehensive plans be financially feasible.
- Deletes the twice a year limitation on comprehensive plan amendments.
- Revises the small scale amendment process.
- Specifies that population projections should be a floor for requisite development except for areas of critical state concern.
- Allows additional planning periods for specific parts of the comprehensive plan.
- Abolishes 9J-5 (DCA's growth management regulations and incorporates certain provisions into the bill).
- Removes many of the state specifications and requirements for optional elements in the comprehensive plan, but allows local governments to continue to include optional elements.
- Expands and revises the optional sector plan process.
- Reduces the requirements of the evaluation and appraisal process.
- Revises the rural land stewardship program.
- Restricts the state's ability to interpret joint planning agreements.
- Clarifies and broadens the window for permit extensions.
- Creates a 4-year development of regional impact permit extension.
- Removes industrial areas, hotels/motels, and theaters from the list of developments of regional impact.
- Creates an exemption from the DRI process for mining projects and allows those mines to enter into agreements with the Department of Transportation.
- Adds a new 2-year permit extension, but caps the maximum extension at 4 years.
- Prohibits local governments from having referenda for local comprehensive plan amendments.
- Encourages planning innovation technical assistance.
- Sunsets the Century Commission in two years.
- Clarifies requirements for adopting criteria to address compatibility of lands relating to military installations.
- Allows a certain plan amendment to be readopted by a local government without being resubmitted to the state land planning agency.
- Clarifies when a local government can reject a proposed change to a development of regional impact.
- Encourages adaptation strategies.
- Requires DOT to study the proportionate share calculation.
- Allows DCA to have procedural issues on their website.

The effective date of this bill is upon becoming law.